# IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

| MARK D. SOBERAY,                        | ) CASE NO.: CV 13-817909                        |
|---|---|
| Plaintiff,                              | )<br>)<br>) JUDGE JOHN D. SUTULA.               |
| v.<br>GREYHOUND LINES, INC, et al.,     | ) JURY VERDICT FOR PLAINTIEF MARK SOBERAY       |
| Defendants .                            | <b>,</b>  |
| ν,                                      | ĺ   |
| C.A.V. ENTERPRISES, LLC, et. al.,       | )<br>)<br>}                                     |
| Defendants.                             | ,   |
| The Jury in this matter, having been    | n duly empanelled and sworn, finds in favor of  |
| Plaintiff, in the amount of \$23,018,7  | 90 *, and against the following (check all that |
| apply):                                 |   |
| *The total should be the same on the to | ital from Interrogatory # 5.                    |
| Defendant Sabria                        | na Anderson                                     |
| Defendant Greyl                         | nound Lines, Inc.                               |
| SIGNATURES:                             |   |
| 1                                       | Josep Many                                      |
| 2. Zem lin lor la 16.                   |   |
| 3. 7.                                   | Parkadel )                                      |
| 4 Gerell Gonegelen 8.                   | Lasty Shyrouster )                              |
|   |   |

(A TOTAL OF SIX OF EIGHT JURORS MUST SIGN)

## IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

| MARK D. SOBERAY, Plaintiff,                 | ) CASE NO.: CV 13-817909           |
|---|------------------------------------|
| ٧.  | )<br>) JUDGE JOHN D. SUTULA        |
| GREYHOUND LINES, INC, et al.,<br>Defendants | )<br>) <u>jury interrogatories</u> |
| ٧.  | ,                                  |
| C.A.V. ENTERPRISES, LLC, et. al.,           | j                                  |
| Defendants.                                 | j                                  |

# JURY INTERROGATORIES AND VERDICT FORMS TWO DEFENDANTS

You must decide whether the Defendants were negligent and whether that negligence was a factual cause of injury. I will now read you the questions on the verdict form that you must answer to arrive at a proper verdict:

### INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Sabrina Anderson/Greyhound Lines, Inc. was negligent (i.e., violated the highest duty of care required of a common carrier)?

Six or more of those jurors so agreeing must sign below.

Juice foreston Therent from play

- If the Answer of six (6) or more Jurors to Interrogatory No. 1 is "Yes," proceed to Interrogatory No. 2.
- If the Answer of six (6) or more Jurors to Interrogatory No. 1 is "No," sign the Verdict Form in favor of the Defendant Sabrina Anderson and proceed to Interrogatory No. 3.

Only those who answered yes to Interrogatory No. 1 may participate in deciding

Interrogatory No. 2.

# ONLY THOSE WHO ANSWERED YES TO INTERROGATORY #1 MAY PARTICIPATE IN DECIDING INTERROGATORY #2

### INTERROGATORY NO. 2

If you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Sabrina Anderson/ Greyhound Lines, Inc. was negligent, do you also find by a preponderance of the evidence, that such negligence was a factual cause for the damages to the Plaintiff?

☑ Yes ☐ No

- If your Answer to Interrogatory No. 2 is "No," sign the Verdict Form in favor of the Defendant Sabrina Anderson and proceed to Interrogatory No. 3.
- At least six.(6) or more of the same Jurors who answered yes to Interrogatory No. 1 must agree and sign below and proceed to Interrogatory No. 3.

All jurors may participate in Interrogatory No. 3 regardless of their answer to either Interrogatory No. 1 or Interrogatory No. 2.

### INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. was independently negligent, separate and apart from Defendant Sabrina Anderson?

Yes UNo

- If the Answer of six (6) or more Jurors to Interrogatory No. 3 is "No," sign the Verdict Form in favor of the Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. and proceed to Interrogatory No. 5.
- If the Answer of six (6) or more Jurors to Interrogatory No. 3 is "Yes," sign below.

Six or more of those jurors so agreeing must sign below.

1. 5 fam. 1. 6. 2. 3. 4. Association of the second secon

3

Only those jurors who answered yes to Interrogatory No. 3 may participate in Interrogatory No. 4.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 4

If you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. was independently negligent, separate and apart from Defendant Sabrina Anderson, do you also find by a preponderance of the evidence, that such negligence was a factual cause of the damages to Plaintiff Mark Soberay?

Yes DNo

- If your Answer to Interrogatory No. 4 is "No," sign the Verdict Form in favor of the Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. as to independent negligence and proceed to Interrogatory No. 5.
- If your enswer is "Yes," six (6) or more of the same jurors who signed Interrogatory No.
   3 must agree and sign below.

| 1                | 5. How The State of the second |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0//6             |                                |
| 2/2/-            | 6) W/D 101                     |
| 3.               | 7. Patral Captall              |
| Land / I longdon | 8. Cathy Allymina              |
| 7                |                                |

If the answer of six (6) or more Jurors to Interrogatory No. 4 is "Yes," then please identify which independent acts of negligence, as identified in response to Interrogatory No. 3, were a futual cause of the damages to Plaintiff Mark Soberay?

| DID     | NOT | FOLLOW) | <u> </u> | ENFORCE                                 | <u> THEIR</u> |
|---------|-----|---------|----------|---|---------------|
|         |     |         |          |   |               |
|         |     | •       |          |   |               |
| CV. IAI | กก  | 1 r C . |          | A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | ,,,,          |

Proceed to Interrogatory No. 5.

All jurors shall participate in answering Interrogatory No. 5 regardless of how they answered any of the previous Interrogatories.

### INTERROGATORY NO. 5

If you have found that any of the Defendants were negligent and that such negligence was a factual cause of Plaintiff's damages, you will now be asked to state the damage award, if any, which will reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff.

NOTE: ALL JURORS MAY PARTICIPATE AND ANSWER THIS INTERROGATORY, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THEY ANSWER THE PREVIOUS INTERROGATORIES.

Itemize the amount of damages, if any, sustained by the Plaintiff as a result of the accident.

| (a)        | Past medical expenses                                    | \$1,468,190         |
|------------|--|---------------------|
| (b)        | Future medical expenses, services, etc.                  | \$3,550,000         |
| (c)        | Past, present, and future pain and suffering,            | \$ 8,000,000        |
| (d)        | Past, present, and future embarrassment and humiliation, | : <u>2,500,000</u>  |
| (e)        | Past, present, and future loss of enjoyment              |                     |
| •          | of life  | <u>\$ 2,500,000</u> |
| <b>(f)</b> | Past, present, and future disfigurement                  | \$ 5,000,000        |
|            | Total \$ 23,018,1  | 40                  |